## **RESOLUTION OF TWO DEBYE LOSS PEAKS OF EQUAL AMPLITUDE**

Consider two Debye peaks of equal amplitude with relaxation times  $\tau/R$  and  $\tau R$  so that their ratio is  $R^2$ . This ensures that the geometric average relaxation time of their sum is  $\langle \tau \rangle = 1$  and that when plotted against  $\log_{10}(\omega \tau)$  the two peaks, if resolved, appear an equal number of decades on each side of  $\ln \langle \tau \rangle = 0$ . This symmetry and the equality of amplitudes make the mathematics tractable (otherwise an 18<sup>th</sup> order polynomial (!) would have to be solved, see below). For convenience place  $\omega \tau = x$  so that the sum of the two Debye peaks is

$$f = \frac{x/R}{1+x^2/R^2} + \frac{Rx}{1+R^2x^2}.$$
(1)

The extrema in f are then obtained from

$$\frac{df}{dx} = 0 = \frac{1/R}{1 + x^2/R^2} - \frac{x/R(2x/R^2)}{(1 + x^2/R^2)^2} + \frac{R}{1 + R^2x^2} - \frac{Rx(2R^2x)}{(1 + R^2x^2)^2}$$
(2a)

$$=\frac{1/R(1-x^2/R^2)}{(1+x^2/R^2)^2} + \frac{R(1-R^2x^2)}{(1+R^2x^2)^2}$$
(2b)

$$=\frac{1/R(1-x^2/R^2)(1+R^2x^2)^2+R(1-R^2x^2)(1+x^2/R^2)^2}{(1+x^2/R^2)^2(1+R^2x^2)^2}$$
(2c)

$$=\frac{1/R\left[\left(1-x^{2}/R^{2}\right)\left(1+R^{2}x^{2}\right)^{2}+R^{2}\left(1-R^{2}x^{2}\right)\left(1+x^{2}/R^{2}\right)^{2}\right]}{\left(1+x^{2}/R^{2}\right)^{2}\left(1+R^{2}x^{2}\right)^{2}}.$$
(2d)

Defining 
$$r = R^2$$
 and  $z = x^2$  and placing the numerator of eq. (2d) equal to zero yields  
 $(1-z/r)(1+2rz+r^2z^2)+r(1-rz)(1+2z/r+z^2/r^2)=0.$  (3)

Rearranging eq. (3) yields

$$-(r+1)z^{3} + \left[\frac{1}{r}(r+1)(r^{2}-3r+1)\right]z^{2} - \left[\frac{1}{r}(r+1)(r^{2}-3r+1)\right]z + (r+1)=0;$$
(4a)

$$-\left[r(r+1)\right]z^{3} + \left[(r+1)(r^{2}-3r+1)\right]z^{2} - \left[(r+1)(r^{2}-3r+1)\right]z + \left[r(r+1)\right] = 0;$$
(4b)

$$-(r^{2}+r)z^{3}+(r^{3}-2r^{2}-2r+1)z^{2}-(r^{3}-2r^{2}-2r+1)z+(r^{2}+r)$$
(4c)

$$a_3 z^3 + a_2 z^2 + a_1 z + a_0 = 0 \tag{4d}$$

Equation (4) is appropriately a cubic equation in z whose solutions for resolved peaks correspond to the two maxima and the intervening minimum. The condition for no resolution is that eq. 4 has one real root and two complex conjugate roots. The condition for borderline resolution is that there are three identical solutions, i.e that eq. (4) is a perfect cube. For eq. (4c)

to have three equal roots it is required that  $3a_3 = -a_2 = a_1 = -3a_0$  and indeed  $a_3 = -a_0$  and  $a_2 = -a_1$ . For  $3a_3 = -a_2$ 

$$a_2 = \frac{1}{r} (r+1) (r^2 - 3r + 1) = -3a_3 = 3(r+1)$$
(5a)

$$\Rightarrow (r^2 - 3r + 1) = 3r \tag{5b}$$

$$\Rightarrow r^2 - 6r + 1 = 0. \tag{5c}$$

The quadratic solutions to eq. (5c) are

$$r = \frac{6 \pm (36 - 4)^{1/2}}{2} = \frac{6 \pm (32)^{1/2}}{2} = 3 \pm 2^{3/2},$$
(6)

so that  $R = \left[3 \pm 2^{3/2}\right]^{1/2} = \left(1 \pm 2^{1/2}\right)$ . Note that  $\left(1 + 2^{1/2}\right) = -1/\left(1 - 2^{1/2}\right)$ , consistent with the equivalence of *R* and 1/R in eq (1) once the sign ambiguity  $R = \pm r^{1/2}$  is taken into account. On a logarithmic scale the ratio of the relaxations times  $R^2 = r$  is  $\log_{10}\left(3 + 2^{3/2}\right) = 0.7656$  decades.

## Preliminary Analysis of Unequal Amplitudes

To illustrate the intractability of solving for two peaks of unequal amplitude (but still symmetrically placed around  $\omega \tau = 1$ ) we now show that the Cardano method for solving a cubic equation suggests that an 18<sup>th</sup> order polynomial in *r* would have to be solved! This is a suspicious result that is being checked, and is therefore preliminary. Consider the expression

$$f = \frac{x/R}{1+x^2/R^2} + \frac{ARx}{1+R^2x^2} = \frac{Rx}{R^2+x^2} + \frac{ARx}{1+R^2x^2}.$$
(7)

Equation (4c) then becomes

$$-(r^{2}+Ar)z^{3}+(r^{3}-2Ar^{2}-2r+A)z^{2}-(Ar^{3}-2r^{2}-2Ar+1)z+(Ar^{2}+r)=0.$$
(8a)

$$-a_3 z^3 + a_2 z^2 - a_1 z + a_0 = 0 \tag{8b}$$

Note that for A = 1 eq. (8a) is the same as eq. (4c). Borderline resolution occurs when eq. (8) has two equal and real roots and one different real root (equal roots for an inflection point, one for a maximum). The first step to solving eq. (8) is to make the substitution  $z = y - \frac{a_2}{3a_3}$  that converts

the form of eq. (8b) into one of the form

$$y^3 + A_1 y + A_0 = 0, (9)$$

where

$$A_{1} = -\frac{a_{2}^{2}}{3a_{3}^{2}} + \frac{a_{1}}{a_{3}} = \frac{1}{a_{3}^{2}} \left( -\frac{a_{2}^{2}}{3} + a_{1}a_{3} \right)$$
(10a)

and

$$A_{0} = \frac{2a_{2}^{3}}{27a_{3}^{2}} - \frac{a_{1}a_{2}}{3a_{3}^{2}} + \frac{a_{0}}{a_{3}} = \frac{1}{a_{3}^{3}} \left( 2a_{2}^{3}a_{3} - \frac{a_{1}a_{2}a_{3}}{3} + a_{0}a_{3}^{2} \right).$$
(10b)

For there to be two equal real roots the cubic equivalent of the quadratic determinant  $A_0^2/4 + A_1^3/27$  must be zero:

$$\frac{A_0^2}{4} + \frac{A_1^3}{27} = \frac{1}{4a_3^6} \left( 2a_2^3 a_3 - a_1 a_2 a_3 / 3 + a_0 a_3^2 \right)^2 + \frac{1}{27a_3^6} \left( a_1 a_3 - a_2^2 / 3 \right)^3 = 0.$$
(11)

Multiplying eq. (11) through by  $a_3^6$  (that cannot be zero for eq. (8b) to be cubic) yields an 18<sup>th</sup> order polynomial in *r*. For example the term  $a_2^2$  in eq. (10a) for  $A_1$  is a 6<sup>th</sup> order polynomial in *r* (eq. (8)) that is raised to the 3<sup>rd</sup> power in eq. (11), and  $a_2^3$  in eq. (10b) for  $A_0$  is a 9<sup>th</sup> order polynomial in *r* (eq. 8)) that is raised to the 2<sup>nd</sup> power in eq. (11)).

Placing the second derivative of eq. (7) equal to zero is extremely tedious but appears to require the solution of another intractable polynomial.